

# Subspaces

DEFINITION: Let  $V$  be a vector space. Then  $W$  is a **subspace** of  $V$  if and only if  $W$  is a subset of  $V$ , and  $W$  is itself a vector space with the same operations as  $V$ .

THEOREM 4.2: Let  $V$  be a vector space, and let  $W$  be a nonempty subset of  $V$  using the same operations. Then  $W$  is a subspace of  $V$  if and only if  $W$  is closed under vector addition and scalar multiplication in  $V$ .

EXAMPLE: The set  $W$  of all vectors

$$\mathbf{u} = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ 0 \\ z \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{where } x, z \text{ are all real numbers}$$

is a vector space, since  $W$  is a nonempty ( $\mathbf{0}$  is in  $W$ ) subset of the vector space  $\mathbb{R}^3$  and it closed under vector addition and scalar multiplication:

1.  $W$  is closed under vector addition. Indeed, let

$$\mathbf{u}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ 0 \\ z_1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{u}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ 0 \\ z_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

then

$$\mathbf{u}_1 + \mathbf{u}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ 0 \\ z_1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ 0 \\ z_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 + x_2 \\ 0 \\ z_1 + z_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_3 \\ 0 \\ z_3 \end{bmatrix} \in W$$

where  $x_3 = x_1 + x_2$  and  $z_3 = z_1 + z_2$ .

2. Similarly,  $W$  is closed under scalar multiplication, since

$$c\mathbf{u} = c \begin{bmatrix} x \\ 0 \\ z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} cx \\ 0 \\ cz \end{bmatrix} \in W$$

EXAMPLE: The set  $W$  of all vectors

$$\begin{bmatrix} x - y \\ y - z \\ z - x \\ y \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{where } x, y, z \text{ are all real numbers}$$

is a vector space, since  $W$  is a nonempty ( $\mathbf{0}$  is in  $W$ ) subset of the vector space  $\mathbb{R}^4$  and it closed under vector addition and scalar multiplication:

1.  $W$  is closed under vector addition. Indeed, let

$$\mathbf{u}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 - y_1 \\ y_1 - z_1 \\ z_1 - x_1 \\ y_1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{u}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} x_2 - y_2 \\ y_2 - z_2 \\ z_2 - x_2 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u}_1 + \mathbf{u}_2 &= \begin{bmatrix} x_1 - y_1 \\ y_1 - z_1 \\ z_1 - x_1 \\ y_1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} x_2 - y_2 \\ y_2 - z_2 \\ z_2 - x_2 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (x_1 - y_1) + (x_2 - y_2) \\ (y_1 - z_1) + (y_2 - z_2) \\ (z_1 - x_1) + (z_2 - x_2) \\ y_1 + y_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} (x_1 + x_2) - (y_1 + y_2) \\ (y_1 + y_2) - (z_1 + z_2) \\ (z_1 + z_2) - (x_1 + x_2) \\ y_1 + y_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_3 - y_3 \\ y_3 - z_3 \\ z_3 - x_3 \\ y_3 \end{bmatrix} \in W \end{aligned}$$

where  $x_3 = x_1 + x_2$ ,  $y_3 = y_1 + y_2$ ,  $z_3 = z_1 + z_2$ .

2. Similarly,  $W$  is closed under scalar multiplication, since

$$c\mathbf{u} = c \begin{bmatrix} x - y \\ y - z \\ z - x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} cx - cy \\ cy - cz \\ cz - cx \\ cy \end{bmatrix} \in W$$

EXAMPLE: Let  $W$  be the set of all functions  $x(t)$  which satisfy the differential equation

$$\frac{d^2x}{dt^2} - x = 0$$

with the sum of two functions and the product of a function by a number being defined in the usual manner. It is easy to verify that  $W$  is a vector space. We first note that  $W$  is a nonempty ( $\mathbf{0}$  is in  $W$ ) subset of the vector space of all real-valued functions. Let us show that it is closed under vector addition and scalar multiplication.

1. If  $x_1(t)$  and  $x_2(t)$  are in  $W$ , then  $x_1(t) + x_2(t)$  is in  $W$ , since

$$\begin{aligned} (x_1 + x_2)'' - (x_1 + x_2) &= x_1'' + x_2'' - x_1 - x_2 \\ &= (x_1'' - x_1) + (x_2'' - x_2) \\ &= 0 + 0 \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

3. Similarly, if  $x(t)$  is in  $W$ , then  $cx(t)$  is in  $W$ , since

$$\begin{aligned} (cx)'' - (cx) &= cx'' - cx \\ &= c(x'' - x) \\ &= c \cdot 0 \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

DEFINITION: The vector  $\mathbf{y}$  defined by

$$\mathbf{y} = c_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + c_n\mathbf{v}_n$$

where  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$  are vectors from a vector space  $V$  and  $c_1, \dots, c_n$  are scalars, is called a **linear combination** of vectors  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ .

THEOREM 4.3: Let  $W$  be a subspace of a vector space  $V$ , and let  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$  be vectors in  $W$ . Then, for any scalars  $c_1, \dots, c_n$ , we have  $c_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + c_n\mathbf{v}_n \in W$ .

Proof:

**STEP 1:** If  $n = 1$ , then we must show that if  $\mathbf{v}_1 \in W$  and  $c_1$  is a scalar, then  $c_1\mathbf{v}_1 \in W$ . But this is certainly true since the subspace  $W$  is closed under scalar multiplication.

**STEP 2:** Assume that the theorem is true for any linear combination of  $n$  vectors in  $W$ .

**STEP 3:** We must prove the theorem holds for a linear combination of  $n + 1$  vectors. Suppose  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n, \mathbf{v}_{n+1}$  are vectors in  $W$ , and  $c_1, \dots, c_n, c_{n+1}$  are scalars. We must show that  $c_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + c_n\mathbf{v}_n + c_{n+1}\mathbf{v}_{n+1} \in W$ . However, by the inductive hypothesis, we know that

$$c_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + c_n\mathbf{v}_n \in W$$

Also,  $c_{n+1}\mathbf{v}_{n+1} \in W$ , since  $W$  is closed under scalar multiplication. But since  $W$  is also closed under addition, the sum of any two vectors in  $W$  is again in  $W$ , so

$$(c_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + c_n\mathbf{v}_n) + (c_{n+1}\mathbf{v}_{n+1}) \in W \quad \blacksquare$$

THEOREM 4.4: Let  $A$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix, and let  $\lambda$  be an eigenvalue for  $A$ , having eigenspace  $E_\lambda$ . Then  $E_\lambda$  is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Proof: Let  $\lambda$  be an eigenvalue for an  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$ . By definition, the eigenspace  $E_\lambda$  of  $\lambda$  is the set of all  $n$ -vectors  $\mathbf{x}$  having the property that

$$A\mathbf{x} = \lambda\mathbf{x}$$

including the zero  $n$ -vector. We will use Theorem 4.2 to show that  $E_\lambda$  is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Since  $\mathbf{0} \in E_\lambda$ ,  $E_\lambda$  is a nonempty subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . We must show that  $E_\lambda$  is closed under addition and scalar multiplication.

Let  $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2$  be any two vectors in  $E_\lambda$ . To show that  $\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2 \in E_\lambda$ , we need to verify that

$$A(\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2) = \lambda(\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2)$$

But,

$$A(\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2) = A\mathbf{x}_1 + A\mathbf{x}_2 = \lambda\mathbf{x}_1 + \lambda\mathbf{x}_2 = \lambda(\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2)$$

therefore  $\mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2 \in E_\lambda$ . Similarly, let  $\mathbf{x}$  be a vector in  $E_\lambda$ , and let  $c$  be a scalar. We must show that  $c\mathbf{x} \in E_\lambda$ . But,

$$A(c\mathbf{x}) = c(A\mathbf{x}) = c(\lambda\mathbf{x}) = \lambda(c\mathbf{x})$$

and so  $c\mathbf{x} \in E_\lambda$ . Hence,  $E_\lambda$  is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .  $\blacksquare$